

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,997	11/21/2006	Yukifumi Machida	05816/LH	5312
1933 FRISHAUF, H	7590 12/17/200 OLTZ, GOODMAN &	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			HARVEY, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/559,997	MACHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James R. Harvey	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2006.					
· —	,—					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims •						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2006</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-15-05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail E  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/559,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12-15-05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

## **Drawings**

• Figure(s) 8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

• The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2833

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

• The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- \*\* The following claim(s) is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fry et al. (5356318; herein referred to as ff).

In reference to Claim(s) 1, ff shows (cover sheet) a terminal 10, plates (near the lead line of numeral 34), connecting portion 20, top portions 44 of the plate, top portions 42 of the core conductor clamping portion (near the lead line of numeral 32 (cover sheet) via coupling portions (that portions that connects the lowest top surface (to the right of the lead line of numeral 44) to the highest top surface (to the left of the lead line of numeral 42).

\*\* The following claim(s) is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ff in view of Ichio et al. (6302734; herein referred to as ii).

In reference to Claim(s) 2, ff shows substantially the invention as claimed.

However, ff does not show a rear end (above arrow A; figure 4) of the connecting portion 20 of ff is locked by a locking lance when the terminal 10 of ff is installed within a housing.

It is known in the art that a rear end of the connecting portion is locked by a locking lance. It is an example within the art that shows a rear end (below the lead line of numeral 15;

Application/Control Number: 10/559,997 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

figure 15) of a terminal is locked by a locking lance 15 (figure 8) when the terminal is installed in the housing.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and having access to the references to be able to put the arrangement of ff in a locking housing with a lance arrangement as shown to be known by ii.

The rationale for arriving at the conclusion of obviousness is the that all of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim(s) 3 has(have) allowable subject matter.
- Claim(s) 3 is/ are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not show the unique structure of the plate like portions are engaged with locking recesses formed in both side walls of the locking lance. This structure, in combination with all the other elements of the claim is not seen to be anticipated by the prior art and the examiner knows of no permissible motivation to combine the prior art such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,997 · Page 5

Art Unit: 2833

• If the application becomes allowable, any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays,

should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled

"Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowable Subject Matter".

### Conclusion

• The prior art listed on PTO form 892 that is made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it shows the state of the art with respect to applicant's claimed invention.

• Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 571-272-2007. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 extension 33.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

- Applicant is encouraged to send correspondence through the USPTO fax number 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/James Harvey/ James Harvey Primary Examiner

irh

December 10, 2007